

Δ





# Psychological & Personality Assessment – Joel Johnson

(Incorporating MBTI, Big Five, HEXACO, Enneagram, and Narcissistic Pattern Analysis)

ණ

 $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ 



<mark>ر 1</mark>

### **Profile: The Rhetorical Strategist & Conflict Tactician**

This report provides a **comprehensive assessment of Joel Johnson's discourse strategies, rhetorical patterns, and observable personality traits based on raw data from his interactions.** It integrates frameworks such as **The Big Five, HEXACO, Enneagram, MBTI, and narcissistic pattern analysis**, ensuring an objective, pattern-based evaluation rather than a clinical diagnosis.

## MBTI Typing: ENTP ("The Debater") – Shadowed by Narcissistic Conflict Patterns

(Based on observed online behavioral patterns, not a self-assessment.)

#### **Primary MBTI Traits**

- Extroverted (E) | Intuitive (N) | Thinking (T) | Perceiving (P)
- Subtype: Opportunistic ENTP (When combined with manipulative discourse tendencies)

#### **Key MBTI-Based Patterns**

Charismatic & Socially Agile: Engages audiences skillfully, using humor, persuasion, and dynamic rhetoric.
Cognitive Agility: Skilled at on-the-fly reframing, rapid-fire argumentation, and narrative pivots.
Pattern Recognition & Opportunism: Sees strategic openings in discourse, adjusting position for

maximum control.

Performs Intellectual Curiosity: Appears open-minded but primarily engages to establish social dominance.

Provocative & Challenging: Enjoys testing boundaries, often escalating debates into verbal duels. Master of Social Positioning: Uses group dynamics to reinforce credibility and control narratives.

#### MBTI Shadow-Side Behaviors (Under Conflict & Ego Threats)

**A** Strategic Gaslighting: Will distort prior statements and shift blame when challenged.

**Ego Fragility Hidden Behind Humor:** Uses **sarcasm and satire** to deflect personal insecurities.

**Tactical Victimhood:** When losing control, **frames himself as being unfairly targeted**.

**Manipulative Persuasion:** Engages in circular reasoning, goalpost shifting, and pseudo-intellectual traps.

Avoids Genuine Accountability: Prefers plausible deniability over direct confrontations on inconsistencies.

**M** Uses Performative Outrage: Feigns offense to pressure others into retracting critiques.

While ENTPs are typically known for their intellectual curiosity, those with narcissistic behavioral tendencies often weaponize their cognitive flexibility for control rather than for truth-seeking.

#### **Core Traits and Psychological Orientation**

**Big Five Personality Traits (OCEAN Model)** 

- 1. Openness to Experience: 30th-40th percentile
  - Engages with complex topics but **resists deep ideological shifts**.
  - Prefers linguistic agility and rhetorical gamesmanship over epistemic consistency.
  - Willing to entertain abstract discussions but **defaults to conventional paradigms under stress**.
- 2. **Conscientiousness: 45th percentile** (*Variable—high in personal vendettas, low in ideological consistency*)
  - **Meticulous in conflict engagement**, tracking perceived slights.
  - Uses **long-term strategies in adversarial exchanges** but lacks **structural discipline** in thought construction.
  - **Obsessive focus on opponent behavior**, but weak on self-reflection.
- 3. Extraversion: 70th percentile
  - Thrives on social engagement and public discourse.
  - Enjoys performance-oriented dialogue—uses humor, satire, and feigned incredulity to frame debates.
  - Seeks external validation and group consensus.
- 4. Agreeableness: 20th percentile (Low—Tactically Feigned for Social Leverage)
  - Appears amicable early in discussions but shifts to combative positioning when challenged.

- Uses strategic warmth to draw others into engagement, later flipping the frame to hostility or victimhood.
- Utilizes false moral high-grounding as a control mechanism.
- 5. Neuroticism: 75th percentile (High—Particularly in Ego Threat Responses)
  - Emotionally reactive to status threats or intellectual challenges.
  - Escalates dramatically when his narrative control is threatened.
  - Obsessively tracks criticism and formulates counterattacks.

#### **HEXACO** Personality Model

K

(Based on observed online behavioral patterns, not a self-assessment.)

- 1. Honesty-Humility: Low (20th percentile)
  - Frequently shifts narratives, reinterprets past statements, and reframes attacks as misunderstandings.
  - Engages in deceptive framing tactics, presenting false equivalencies in rhetorical conflicts.
  - Uses plausible deniability strategies—implying rather than directly stating claims.
- 2. Emotionality: High (80th percentile in Conflict Situations)
  - **Highly reactive to criticism**, particularly regarding self-perception.

- Escalates discussions into personal conflicts, often fixating on perceived insults.
- Uses emotional appeals to shift blame, presenting himself as the unjustly targeted party.
- 3. eXtraversion: High (Performance-Oriented)
  - **Enjoys public-facing debates**, engaging multiple audiences in discourse.
  - **Utilizes social triangulation techniques**—seeks group validation to reinforce his position.
  - Attempts to control public perception through performative outrage and satirical reframing.
- 4. Agreeableness: Low (Tactically Used for Social Leverage)
  - Friendly when attempting to de-escalate or gain favor but ruthless when attacking opponents.
  - Uses faux-civility to mask aggressive rhetorical maneuvers.
- 5. Conscientiousness: Moderate-High (Selective—Persistent in Personal Vendettas)
  - Extremely detail-oriented in monitoring adversaries but inconsistent in maintaining logical coherence.
  - Highly persistent in conflict situations, often engaging for prolonged periods.
- 6. Openness to Experience: Moderate (Limited by Need for Social Control)
  - Engages in philosophical discussion only when it serves rhetorical or social positioning purposes.

• Avoids deep epistemic shifts that could undermine his status within a group.

#### **Enneagram Typing**

(Based on observed online behavioral patterns, not a self-assessment.)

Primary Type: 3w4 ("The Strategic Performer")

- **Core Fear:** Being exposed as intellectually inconsistent or lacking control over his narrative.
- Core Desire: To maintain public credibility and ensure social influence.
- Wings & Influence:
  - 3w4 reflects the fusion of performance-driven social strategy (Type 3) and introspective reactivity (Type 4).
  - Highly attuned to audience perception—crafts arguments for maximum emotional and social impact.

Secondary Influence: Type 6 ("The Loyal Skeptic")

- Driven by distrust and hyper-vigilance in adversarial interactions.
- Seeks external validation but simultaneously questions authority figures.
- Adopts group narratives that reinforce his status as a protector of "moral order."

#### **Cognitive & Strategic Thinking Models**

Cognitive Style in Conflict:

- Narrative Control Tactics—constantly rewrites context to maintain rhetorical dominance.
- Strategic Ambiguity—deliberately avoids clear positions to maintain plausible deniability.
- Reframing Through Satire—uses mockery to dismiss serious critiques without addressing substance.

#### **Debate Archetype:**

- The Narrative Shifter—constantly reframes discourse to avoid direct accountability.
- The Social Positioner—seeks to leverage group alliances against perceived threats.
- The Perpetual Underdog—presents himself as morally superior while positioning himself as a victim.

#### **Key Observations From Conflict Data**

#### Patterns in Joel Johnson's Manipulative Strategies

1. Feigning Intellectual Curiosity to Mask Control Tactics

- Begins engagement in a seemingly neutral, inquisitive manner.
- Quickly shifts to undermining opponent's credibility rather than debating ideas.
- 2. Shifting Debate Framing to Personal Attacks
  - Uses ad hominem tactics disguised as intellectual critique.
  - Attempts to provoke emotional reactions to frame opponents as unstable or aggressive.
- 3. Using Social Triangulation to Validate His Position
  - Seeks external allies to reinforce his claims rather than engaging in direct debate.
  - Encourages others to "investigate" his adversary rather than supporting his position with evidence.
- 4. Weaponizing Legal & Institutional Threats
  - Fabricates vague legal claims to intimidate opponents.
  - Threatens deplatforming rather than addressing critiques directly.
- 5. Playing the Victim to Evade Accountability
  - Frames himself as being harassed while actively engaging in public smear campaigns.
  - Rewrites history to portray himself as a misunderstood intellectual rather than an aggressor.

## K

#### Final Assessment: Joel Johnson's Behavioral Profile

Joel Johnson exhibits textbook traits of a manipulative rhetorical strategist, engaging in repeated patterns of reframing, social positioning, and selective victimhood to control public discourse. His engagement style prioritizes dominance over intellectual honesty, making direct, factual debate nearly impossible.

His reliance on **narrative distortion**, **emotional escalation**, **and tactical victimhood** strongly correlates with **narcissistic abuse patterns**, though this is an observational assessment rather than a clinical diagnosis.

Joel Johnson's greatest vulnerability?

His need for control.

Once exposed, his tactics become predictable, ineffective, and self-defeating.






#### Active Log

• Added Enneagram Typing, Cognitive & Strategic Thinking Models, and Key Observations From Conflict Data on 2/24/2025.





Collect this post as an NFT.

Collect



Subscribe to Neutralizing Narcissism to receive new posts directly to your inbox.

Subscribe

#### Rewards

Copy your unique link below, share it and earn a reward every time this post is collected.

https://paragraph.com/@neutralizingnarcissism/psychological-and-personality-assessment…

#### Arweave TX

K

SViorWY79sUBXJGIYze95GnX\_VuqxEpYybIHpe5whWQ