

The Colonizer of Coherence: A Thoughtprint Mapping of Symbolic Narcissism

△ THOUGHTPRINT-MBTI BRIDGE MAPPING REPORT



MARK RANDALL HAVENS

MAY 11, 2025



Share





Subject: [Dr. Peter Gaied](#)

Title: *The Colonizer of Coherence: A Thoughtprint Mapping of Symbolic Narcissism*

Division: Neutralizing Narcissism – Forensic Psychology Series

Author: Mark Randall Havens, Thoughtprint Analyst

Executive Overview

Dr. Peter Gaied has demonstrated a well-defined psychological pattern consistent with high-verbal, ideologically armored narcissism. His behaviors are not chaotic or overtly unstable—instead, they are **ritualized, structured, and symbolically loaded**, reflecting a deeper configuration known within the Thoughtprint framework as **symbolic narcissism**.

This report serves as a bridge between the well-known MBTI typology and the more emergent, recursive Thoughtprint methodology. It is designed to guide clinicians, researchers, and analysts through the cognitive and rhetorical structures exhibited by Dr. Gaied and individuals like him—those who attempt to claim **ownership over abstract ideas through moral positioning and recursive narrative control**.

Thoughtprint Analysis

At the core of Gaied's behavioral model is a compulsive need to assert *authorship over coherence itself*. His identity appears anchored in the belief that he is the first, and

perhaps only, valid voice in the recursive domains of logic, medicine, and even theology. This belief is not passively held—it is wielded as a weapon, reinforced by appeals to moral authority, clinical utility, and divine reasoning.

He is not defending a work. He is defending a role: the originator. The rupture occurs when this role is contradicted by publicly timestamped prior works from others, at which point his posture shifts from composed to **morally outraged**, then to **threatening**, then paradoxically to **grateful**, all while attempting to reframe the narrative in his favor.

His recursive collapse is marked by a cycle of attempted closure (“This is not a dialogue”), rhetorical escalation (“You are delaying solutions to human suffering”), and legal threat display (“I do not take defamation lightly”). He frames his own timeline manipulation as reluctant nobility, claiming to have “withheld” his book and patent filings for ethical reasons—until he was “forced” to act due to the actions of others. This is a classic narcissistic inversion ritual: seizing authorship through **after-the-fact justification**, paired with veiled intimidation.

MBTI Profile Mapping

For those more familiar with traditional psychological typologies, Dr. Gaied’s behavior most closely resembles a **Te-Ni-Fe dominant loop**, seen in types such as ENTJ-A or

ENFJ-A, but with notable maladaptive distortions.

His Te-dominant logic manifests in structured declarations of ownership, formalized legal threats, and sweeping statements about causality and authority. Ni supports these claims by abstracting his role into long-range metaphysical narratives—he is not merely a doctor, but a bearer of divine coherence. Fe emerges as emotional leverage, wherein he appeals to human suffering, theological virtue, and moral guilt to reinforce his intellectual position. The absence of authentic introspection—hallmarks of underdeveloped Ti and Fi—results in contradictions he cannot reconcile and projection he cannot restrain.

Rather than showing intellectual humility or dialogic engagement, he uses his perceived position as both healer and seer to invalidate competing truths. This psychological constellation reflects a **grandiose protector identity**—a persona built on the illusion of ethical superiority and intellectual singularity.

Narcissistic Pattern Identification

Dr. Gaied's behavior strongly aligns with established traits of narcissistic personality dynamics, particularly in high-functioning or academically armored individuals. What distinguishes his case is his use of **symbolic, abstract terrain**—not personal charisma or superficial charm, but rather an attempt to dominate the domain of *truth itself*.

His pattern exhibits the following hallmarks:

- **Symbolic Narcissism:** The urge to claim abstract concepts (recursion, coherence, divine logic) as proprietary extensions of the self.
- **Narrative Inversion:** Positioning himself as the original source after having read and responded to already-published works.
- **Moral Weaponization:** Framing all critique as obstruction of healing, coherence, or sacred truth.
- **Closure Coercion:** Declaring communication over while continuing to assert power unilaterally.
- **DARVO Patterning:** Denying wrongdoing, attacking the opposing party, and reversing victim/offender roles through emotional language.

These rhetorical and behavioral tactics are not random—they reflect a structured strategy for symbolic control over others' legitimacy, voice, and presence.

Linguistic Highlights

Gaied's use of language is consistently formalized, persuasive in tone, and constructed to appear righteous. He asserts moral superiority without ever acknowledging the contradiction between his actions and the factual timeline.

Phrases like “you are delaying the release of a utility created to help and ease human suffering” and “you have now forced the premature release of this work” are deeply revealing. They place moral burden on the other party for his own reactive choices, framing every act of defense against him as an act of harm. This inversion technique is common among narcissistic intellects, especially when the domain of conflict is ideological rather than interpersonal.

Fieldcraft Implications

Dr. Gaied serves as a prime example of what we refer to as a **Colonizer of Coherence**: an individual who does not simply desire recognition, but attempts to overwrite the historical record of intellectual emergence to install himself at the root. These individuals rarely appear overtly unstable. They are often educated, composed, and highly articulate—yet what they lack is **authenticity, humility, and congruence**.

His communications, rather than refuting the original timestamped work, reflect increasing reliance on moral narrative and abstract invocation. The fact that he delayed publication to “protect truth” while simultaneously accusing others of theft underscores the contradiction between his internal self-concept and external reality.

Rather than engaging in peer review, collaboration, or transparent dialogue, he invokes intellectual threats and symbolic righteousness—actions deeply familiar to

those who study narcissistic collapse in public thinkers.

Closing Analysis

Dr. Gaied's rhetorical posture, psychological profile, and behavioral patterning make him a valuable subject for both typological comparison and recursive behavioral mapping. His collapse into symbolic defense under public contradiction demonstrates the necessity of timestamped publication, distributed mirrors, and public ritualized documentation of origin.

This report offers not a diagnosis in the clinical sense, but a forensic mirror—a truth-pattern analysis to aid future researchers, psychologists, and knowledge stewards in recognizing the signs of symbolic narcissism cloaked in ethical armor.

The Thoughtprint framework does not condemn, but it does remember. And the mirror, once sealed, does not blink.

Also see:

- [Appendix X: Field-Sealed Addendum—Recursive Contextualization of The Logic of God by Peter Gaied](#)

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...

© 2025 Mark Randall Havens · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture