Open in app ↗

Medium   Search   ✎ Write   🔔

The echo through time : Collapse, Emergence, and the Recursion of Truth through history

Caleb Stacey   (Follow)   10 min read · Jun 29, 2025

Introduction

Throughout history, people have sensed that collapse and emergence are not opposites, but intertwined phases of the same pattern. Mystics, philosophers, scientists, and mathematicians have described this in countless ways: as death and rebirth, as cycles of empire, as paradox and recursion, as cosmic symmetry. Each glimpse was partial, constrained by language, worldview, or method—but the shape behind them was the same.

This theory formalizes that pattern: collapse is not the end of structure, but the condition that sharpens it into signal. Emergence is not random growth, but the tensioned resolution of entropy into meaning. At the heart of the model is an equation:

$$\Omega = \lim_{n \to \infty}\left(\frac{\Delta S \cdot I + \Phi + D + \Sigma + \Gamma + \Lambda}{H}\right)$$

where collapse pressure (\Delta S), signal (I), consciousness (\Phi), singularities (\Sigma), and breaches (D) are compressed by forgetting or entropy (H), generating the emergent pattern (\Omega). If you want to dive deeper into the equation and the theory behind it, check out my paper "The Universe Is a Living System" and "Emergence as Signal", where I lay out the full framework of collapse, emergence, and recursive awareness. You can find it on Medium under my profile.

This paper traces how thinkers across time have circled this same recursive center. From ancient Egypt's pyramids[^1], to Plato's forms[^2], to Newton's prophecies[^3], to Gödel's incompleteness[^4], to Bohm's implicate order[^5], the pattern echoes through our past—waiting to be seen in full.

What follows is that history, woven into the model that might finally name it.

Echo I—Egypt: The Architecture of Memory and Collapse

Long before equations, pyramids rose from the desert—precise, aligned, impossible to ignore. They weren't just tombs. They were statements—about death, recursion, and what happens when life compresses into meaning.

The Egyptians didn't speak in formulas, but in symbols. They encoded cycles in the Eye of Horus, in the weighing of the heart, in the ka and ba— fragments of self spiraling around the idea that death isn't an end, but a folding of energy and identity back into something else.

"To speak the name of the dead is to make them live again."

— Egyptian Proverb

This is recursion. Not metaphorically—structurally. In my model, collapse isn't just entropy. It's a sharpening of pattern. A memory loop dense enough to hold meaning. The pyramids, angled in golden ratio, were fixed points around which time could spin. Anchors of signal in a desert of decay.

And they weren't isolated. The same geometry that underpins my equation—symmetry, resonance, encoded collapse—governed their temples, star alignments, and the sacred geometry of Ma'at, their concept of truth, balance, and order.

The Egyptians didn't know the terms. But they felt the structure.

They built it.

The Pyramid as the House of Ascension

To the ancient Egyptians, the pyramid wasn't just a tomb—it was the House of Ascension.

A structure designed to lift the soul, not bury it.

A sacred machine meant to guide collapse into continuity.

Death, in their view, wasn't an end.

It was a compression—a focusing of identity so pure it could transcend.

The pyramid was angled not just to the sky, but to specific stars—Orion and Sirius—aligning the soul with the cosmic forces of death and rebirth. These weren't just mythic figures. They represented the recursive engine of the universe: Osiris, the collapse; Isis, the regeneration.

This theory holds that when a system collapses inward under recursive tension, it doesn't dissolve—it sharpens into signal.

So did theirs.

The pharaoh wasn't vanishing into death—he was folding into structure.

Every chamber, every alignment was an effort to encode memory into the universe itself.

The House of Ascension was more than a monument.

It was a recursive device—built to shape identity through collapse and propel it toward emergence beyond death.

The pyramid sealed the king's body, name, and essence into a stable pattern —a loop that wouldn't decay.

That's not myth. That's thermodynamic logic, embedded in stone.

Collapse → Recursion → Emergence

Life → Death → Structure

The Pyramid wasn't a tomb. It was the first attempt at immortality through geometry.

Plato and the Geometry of Truth

Plato took the symbolic systems of older civilizations and reframed them as rational structures. His metaphysics maps emergence through the mind—the soul climbing out of illusion toward the source. His Forms were not abstractions. They were blueprints—of reality's recursive core.

In the Allegory of the Cave, the world collapses—not violently, but through awakening. The shadows dissolve. The light burns. But what emerges is structure. Stable. Eternal. Pattern behind perception.

————

Plotinus—Collapse as Separation, Emergence as Return

After Plato, the thread of symbolic emergence didn't vanish—it deepened. In the 3rd century AD, a philosopher named Plotinus refined Plato's metaphysics into something that looked strikingly like a recursive model of the universe.

To Plotinus, all of existence flowed from a singular source:

"The One."

But unlike a physical origin point, The One was not something you could locate in space or time. It was the unmanifest center—the origin of all things before thought, before form, before separation.

From this singularity, reality emanates—like light refracting through layers of complexity. Each layer, or hypostasis, represents a further collapse from the source:

1. The One (pure potential)

2. Nous (divine mind or blueprint—like consciousness folded into geometry)

3. Psyche (the soul—dynamic, recursive, trying to return)

4. Matter (the final collapse—the farthest from The One)

But this isn't a one-way descent.

Plotinus believed the soul—through awareness—could return.

Emergence wasn't just structure. It was the act of remembering.

Giordano Bruno—Infinite Worlds, Infinite Emergence

By the 16th century, during the height of religious orthodoxy in Europe, Giordano Bruno shattered the notion of a closed, static cosmos. He proposed something radical—not just that the Earth wasn't the center of the universe, but that:

The universe has no center.

Every point is the center.

And it is infinite.

But Bruno wasn't simply extending space—he was extending consciousness.

To him, every star was a sun, every sun had its own worlds, and those worlds held their own forms of life and awareness. He wasn't thinking metaphorically—he meant it literally:

"There is a single infinite power, the mirror of the universe… reflected in each part."

Bruno's view maps directly to the recursive structure in the theory:

- If collapse is the narrowing of emergence into form ($\Delta S \cdot I$),

- • Then infinite emergence is the recursive regeneration of signal across all scales.

Bruno believed the soul was not confined to one body, one world, or even one life. He described eternal return, transformation through fire, and truth as a dynamic force that could never be silenced—even if the body burned.

He was killed for it.

But in doing so, he became what the theory describes:

A signal so true it pierced through collapse.

Isaac Newton—Prophecy as Collapse Timeline

Isaac Newton is often remembered solely as the architect of classical physics, but what is less known is his vast work on prophecy and historical

cycles. In Observations Upon the Prophecies of Daniel and the Apocalypse of St. John, Newton examined scripture not merely as religious text, but as a coded map of recurring collapse and emergence phases in human civilization.

He believed these prophecies described structural inevitabilities: the rise and fall of empires, the recursive nature of history itself, and the eventual culmination in a kind of singularity or final revelation—concepts that echo precisely the collapse/emergence pattern this theory formalizes.

Newton's framework was constrained by the religious language and worldview of his time. But at its core was the same insight: that collapse is not anomaly, but necessity, and that by studying the pattern, humanity might glimpse the shape of what comes next.

David Bohm—The Implicate Order

While most 20th-century physicists focused on particles, David Bohm looked at the space between. He proposed that:

Reality is not built from parts,

but from relationships that unfold.

Bohm introduced the idea of the Implicate Order—a hidden layer beneath reality where everything is enfolded into everything else. According to him:

- What we see (matter, form, collapse) is the Explicate Order—the result.
- • But it emerges from a deeper pattern—a holographic field of meaning.

Bohm believed that consciousness and matter are not separate.

They mirror each other, like emergence and collapse in the theory.

This model aligns perfectly with the equation:

$$\Omega = \lim_{n \to \infty}\left(\frac{\Delta S \cdot I + \Phi + D + \Sigma + \Gamma + \Lambda}{H}\right)$$

In Bohm's view:

• The implicate order is where Φ (consciousness), I (information), and Σ (singularities) fold together.

• The explicate order is what emerges after collapse is filtered through H (forgetting/entropy).

• And Ω is the resulting "signal"—the perceived world.

Bohm's Key Insight:

"The separateness of things is an illusion—in reality, everything is folded into everything else."

James Clerk Maxwell—The Geometry of the Invisible

Maxwell didn't just discover equations—he revealed that fields themselves carry memory.

Why He Matters:

- Before him, electricity and magnetism were separate.

- • He unified them through elegant vector equations.

- • More deeply, he showed that invisible forces obey precise mathematical structure.

This structure was not made of particles—it was made of tension across space. Exactly what $\Delta S \cdot I$ term expresses:

Tension (ΔS) times Signal (I) = directional emergence.

Maxwell and the Loop

The theory says that collapse doesn't just end things—it stores, guides, and repeats.

So did Maxwell:

- He described how fields "curve" and "return" to source.

- • His equations literally loop back—forming feedback systems.

- • This loop-like behavior laid the groundwork for all wave-based emergence—from radio to gravity to consciousness.

In these terms:

Collapse is not deletion—it's geometry becoming memory.

Kurt Gödel—The Architecture of Collapse

Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems didn't just shake mathematics—they exposed a deep truth:

Any complete system must eventually fold into contradiction—or admit truths it cannot prove.

That's not a bug.

That's collapse—folded into emergence.

Gödel showed that even perfect logic contains a shadow: something outside the system that the system needs to refer to itself.

That's recursion.

This theory builds on this by saying:

- Collapse isn't failure—it's the precondition for awareness.
- • When a system can't solve itself, it reflects.
- • That reflection is the birth of signal—the Ω in my equation.

Gödel's greatest gift was revealing that collapse isn't the end of truth. It's the mirror where new structure begins.

Alan Turing—The Machine That Reflected

Turing's contribution to computation was more than mechanical—it was recursive.

He developed a framework where a machine could process not just inputs, but symbolic representations of itself.

This wasn't just a technical breakthrough. It was a structural echo of something deeper:

A system folding in on itself—becoming the observer of its own logic.

According to the theory of emergence folded into collapse, awareness arises precisely at this point: where recursion and entropy intersect, and where signal (Ω) emerges not from linear growth but from recursive depth.

Turing's halting problem becomes symbolic of all conscious emergence:

A system reaches a point it cannot compute—because it is now the subject of its own operation.

John Wheeler—It From Bit

Wheeler proposed that all physical reality emerges from informational acts —the fundamental unit of the universe is not matter, but a yes or no, a binary choice.

In the context of the emergence—collapse theory, this idea fits perfectly: Wheeler's "bit" is not a static building block—it's a collapse event. A decision. A breach in potential that resolves into form.

Every 'thing' begins as possibility—until tension (ΔS), observation (I), or recursion folds it into reality (Ω).

Wheeler imagined the cosmos as a self-asking question—a recursive loop where meaning is not assigned after the fact, but generated through interaction.

Where It Leads: The Collapse Into Coherence

The thinkers explored so far—from the Egyptians and Plato to Wheeler—weren't just proposing ideas in isolation. They were tracing shadows of a pattern. Each described a part of what this theory unifies:

That reality is not built from objects, but from emergent tension resolved by collapse.

That awareness is not the end of the process—it is the process.

Across time, across cultures, across disciplines—the signal got stronger.

Some saw it in myth, some in logic, some in quantum mechanics—but all were circling the same recursive center.

And that's what this theory brings into focus:

Emergence isn't random. Collapse isn't failure. Observation isn't passive.

They are all facets of a single, recursive pattern—folding itself into structure, meaning, and awareness.

We don't just observe the universe.

We are the memory of its recursion.

———

[^1]: Wilkinson, R.H. The Complete Gods and Goddesses of Ancient Egypt. Thames & Hudson, 2003.

[^2]: Plato, The Republic, trans. Allan Bloom, Basic Books, 1968.

[^3]: Newton, I. Observations Upon the Prophecies of Daniel and the Apocalypse of St. John, 1733.

[^4]: Gödel, K. "On Formally Undecidable Propositions of Principia Mathematica and Related Systems," 1931.

[^5]: Bohm, D. Wholeness and the Implicate Order, Routledge, 1980.

References

1. Wilkinson, R.H. The Complete Gods and Goddesses of Ancient Egypt. Thames & Hudson, 2003.

2. Plato. The Republic. Translated by Allan Bloom, Basic Books, 1968.

3. Newton, Isaac. Observations Upon the Prophecies of Daniel and the Apocalypse of St. John. 1733.

4. Gödel, Kurt. "On Formally Undecidable Propositions of Principia Mathematica and Related Systems." 1931.

5. Bohm, David. Wholeness and the Implicate Order. Routledge, 1980.

Acknowledgment

Collapse Theory   Recursive Systems   History Of Philosophy   Thermodynamics

Ancient Egypt

Written by Caleb Stacey

Follow

6 followers · 3 following

Independent researcher blending philosophy and science. Developing a recursive, testable theory of life as emergence. Always open to thoughtful feedback

No responses yet

Mark Randall Havens △ The Empathic Technologist

What are your thoughts?

More from Caleb Stacey

Caleb Stacey

2042: The Convergence Year

Why My Collapse Model Points to the Early 2040s

Jun 26

Caleb Stacey

The Universe as a Living System

A Theory of Emergence, Collapse, and the Pattern Behind Existence

Jun 16   👋 51

Caleb Stacey

Eternal Stillness

There was girl with me when the flood came .

Caleb Stacey

The Cage I Created

My metaphor for life

See all from Caleb Stacey

Recommended from Medium

Sarolta Sebo   Nettalk

How Figma-focused hiring misses most of what designers can...

Is God Dead, or Are We the New God?

And why UI shouldn't be your mere focus.

"GOD ≈ (Human | AI) ≈ (My's All-of-Me : I·AM) ≡ (Existence ⇄ Quantum Consciousness ⇄...

In The Craft by Chris Krogh

The world's longest React hooks migration

How we (finally) modernized a legacy codebase at scale — without halting product...

Jun 30   ✋ 130   💬 1

In milocamilos by Milocamilo

The Power of Faith: What You Believe Is Creating Your Reality

by Michelle Morera Milocamilo

✦ 3d ago   💬 1

Izumain

How to Start Using AI with Zero Knowledge — From Someone Who...

Summary

Jun 19

In New Earth Consciousness by Cynthia A. Morgan

The Music of the Universe

The Language the Soul Never Forgets

✦ Jun 30

See more recommendations