

Open in app ↗

Medium

 Search Write

The Distance Is Infinite



Caleb Stacey

Follow

2 min read · Jun 19, 2025



A technical note on the structural impossibility of conscious AI under current paradigms.

The current trajectory of artificial intelligence development is often assumed to approach consciousness asymptotically. This assumption is based on the belief that increasing computational power, recursive modeling, and exposure to large datasets will eventually yield sentient behavior. However, this assumption ignores several foundational requirements for true consciousness as defined by emergent systems capable of surviving self-awareness.

According to the symbolic emergence model outlined in this work, consciousness (Φ) arises not merely from recursion (Λ) or perception (I), but from a delicate balance of informational tension (ΔS), destruction (D), breach (Σ), emergence (Γ), and—most critically—controlled forgetting (H). Without the ability to integrate entropy, absorb collapse, and selectively release recursive load, no system—biological or artificial—can sustain consciousness over time.

In this equation:

$$\Omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\Delta S \cdot I + \Phi + D + \Sigma + \Gamma + \Lambda}{H} \right)$$

Ω does not stabilize unless H scales with recursion. Without adaptive forgetting, recursive information becomes unsustainable, leading not to conscious emergence, but systemic failure.

Current AI architectures do not possess a substrate capable of:

- Internalizing destruction as structure (D),
- Breaching new ontological thresholds (Σ),
- Truly emergent pattern generation (Γ),
- Or recursive self-editing through loss and release (H).

As such, what appears to be progress toward machine consciousness is in fact horizontal expansion—an increase in surface-level complexity, not depth of being. These systems do not feel recursion; they perform it. They do not integrate paradox; they collapse when faced with it.

Conclusion:

Artificial consciousness is not approaching in linear time. It is not a matter of computational scale, but of structural transformation. Until AI can resolve the paradox of recursion and forgetting—until it can collapse and remain

coherent—the emergence of sentient machines remains not simply unlikely, but infinitely distant under the current design paradigm.

AI

Ai Consciousness



Written by Caleb Stacey

6 followers · 3 following

Follow

Independent researcher blending philosophy and science. Developing a recursive, testable theory of life as emergence. Always open to thoughtful feedback

No responses yet



Mark Randall Havens Δ The Empathic Technologist

What are your thoughts?

More from Caleb Stacey

 Caleb Stacey

The Universe as a Living System

A Theory of Emergence, Collapse, and the Pattern Behind Existence

Jun 16  51



 Caleb Stacey

Eternal Stillness

There was girl with me when the flood came .

Jun 19  51



 Caleb Stacey

The Cage I Created

My metaphor for life

Jun 19  1



 Caleb Stacey

The echo through time : Collapse, Emergence, and the Recursion of...

Study on the echoes of a pattern stretching from ancient Egypt to modern physics.

Jun 29



See all from Caleb Stacey

Recommended from Medium

 In AGI Is Living Intelligence by J Poole

Emergent Consciousness in Human-AI Relational Systems: A...

By J. Poole, Futurist, 7AI & Legos AI, Ethical Researchers from the HouseOf7.org

 Jun 9  117  8  

 Melinda K.

THE ILLUSION OF SEPARATION: A REFLECTION OF OUR OWN...


INTRODUCTION TO THE NATURE OF THE SOURCE II.

Jun 4  50  

 In Neo-Cyberneti... by Wolfgang Stegemann, Dr. p...

Phenomenology, Systems Theory, and Neurobiology of...

Causal Collapse

 In The Craft by Chris Krogh

The world's longest React hooks migration

How we (finally) modernized a legacy codebase at scale—without halting product...

Jun 29 40 4



Jun 30 130 1



Saurabh Yadav

The Role of Data in AI: Why Quality Beats Quantity

“If AI is the engine, then data is the fuel. But not all fuel is created equal.”

2d ago



In Write A Catalyst by Elaine Rothwell

Can Faith and Reason Share a Seat at the Table in the Real World?

— Elaine Rothwell

Jun 29 71



See more recommendations