

---

# Andrew LeCody: A Public Record of Influence, Controversy, and Accountability

*A factual review of Andrew LeCody's public impact, drawn from court documents, public statements, and organizational history. This exposé serves as a permanent, verifiable, and non-defamatory public record of interest.*

---

## Content Integrity & Public Interest Disclosure

This publication is a non-commercial, fact-based documentation of a public figure's actions and history. All information herein is derived from:

- Public court records
- Publicly available social media and LinkedIn profiles
- Livestreamed events and forums
- Archived organizational documentation
- Discord/forum transcripts posted to public channels

This exposé does **not engage in harassment, defamation, or privacy violations**. It is protected under principles of **public interest reporting, free speech, and platform transparency policies** regarding public figures.

We explicitly discourage personal attacks and encourage fair, respectful dialogue.

---

## TL;DR: Why This Report Exists

Andrew LeCody is a recognized figure in the Dallas-area tech and maker communities. His leadership at Dallas Makerspace, professional accolades, and high-visibility disputes make him a subject of public interest.

This report offers a **neutral, documented timeline of events**, including:

- His role in the expansion of one of the world's largest makerspaces
- His professional contributions to open-source software and corporate infrastructure
- His filing—and court-dismissed outcome—of a 2019 defamation lawsuit
- Public controversies over narrative control, online conduct, and historical records

The aim is **not character judgment**, but factual clarity—especially given recent attempts to suppress or delist these records from public search engines.

---

## 1. LeCody as a Public Figure: Influence Beyond Private Life

Andrew LeCody has maintained an active and visible role across technical and community landscapes.

- **Founding Member, Dallas Makerspace (2010–2016):**  
As president, LeCody helped grow the organization to over 1,000 members, overseeing governance and operations. His leadership placed him in a highly visible community role.  
*[Source: LinkedIn; Court Document, p.2]*
- **Site Reliability Engineer & Innovator:**  
Recognized for discovering CVE-2020-25594 (HashiCorp Vault) and contributions to Istio and AWS CDK, LeCody holds a visible professional presence with hundreds of LinkedIn followers.  
*[Source: LinkedIn, p.1–2]*
- **Media & Outreach:**  
Served as a livestream commentator for EVE Online's PvP tournament in Iceland; participated in livestreamed board meetings and local forums.  
*[Source: LinkedIn, p.2; Court Document, p.3]*

These roles establish LeCody as a **public figure** whose conduct and decisions impact not only private organizations, but broader ecosystems of trust, technology, and transparency.

---

## 2. The Lawsuit: A Documented Legal Outcome

In 2019, LeCody filed a **defamation lawsuit** against four Dallas Makerspace board members. It was dismissed **with prejudice** under Texas Rule 91a.

### Key Facts:

- **Initiation & Claims:**  
LeCody alleged reputational harm and emotional distress due to statements made on Facebook and during livestreamed meetings.  
*[Source: Court Document, p.3]*
- **Judicial Review:**  
The court ruled the statements **non-defamatory**, citing lack of criminal accusation and no demonstrated reputational damage.  
*[Source: Court Document, p.8–14]*
- **Outcome:**  
Case dismissed with prejudice. Court denied attorney's fees on procedural grounds.  
*[Source: Court Document, p.15–17]*

This is not speculative—it is a **fully adjudicated matter** in the public domain.

---

## 3. Contested Narratives: Community Impact and Digital Disputes

Beyond legal filings, LeCody has engaged in high-stakes digital dialogues, especially involving Dallas Makerspace governance and history.

### Key Moments:

- **Narrative Disputes:**  
Conflicts with co-founder Mark Randall Havens over wiki edits and organizational history were documented on public forums. These disputes reflect deep divisions over legacy, credit, and truth.  
*[Source: Discord Logs; Prior Document, p.12–54]*
- **Public Moderation & Influence:**  
As a DMS admin, LeCody wielded technical and narrative authority. Critics raised concerns over selective transparency and community exclusion.

*[Source: Prior Document, p.25, 91–93]*

- **Technical Contributions vs. Social Fractures:**

While sharing complex projects like a LiFePO<sub>4</sub> battery backup system, LeCody also engaged in highly assertive rhetorical tactics, leading to polarized perceptions within the community.

*[Source: Prior Document, p.73–80]*

These events are not character attacks—they are factual, timestamped records, illustrating **the balance of expertise and control** that has characterized LeCody’s digital legacy.

---

## 4. A Pattern of Narrative Management

From litigation to forum posts, a recurring theme emerges: a **desire to manage perception**, not merely participate in dialogue.

- **Framing as Victim and Hero:**

In his lawsuit, LeCody portrayed himself as the target of coordinated defamation—yet failed to substantiate legal harm.

*[Source: Court Document, p.3–14]*

- **Historical Revision Attempts:**

Public wiki logs reveal edits and narrative shifts about the founding of Dallas Makerspace—some contested by co-founders.

*[Source: Wiki Archives; Prior Document, p.25]*

- **Platform Moderation Efforts:**

Evidence suggests that LeCody may have pursued post hoc attempts to **delist or deplatform** content exposing his public record. This document exists to ensure **such tactics do not erase truth**.

This is **not conjecture**—it is a pattern consistent with strategic public image control.

---

## 5. Why This Matters

This exposé is not about “canceling” Andrew LeCody. It is about safeguarding the public record from erasure, suppression, or selective framing.

- **Community Trust:**  
LeCody's leadership and visibility affect hundreds of contributors, volunteers, and developers. Communities deserve transparent access to truth.
  - **Legal Precedent:**  
Once a lawsuit enters the public record, it becomes a matter of civic literacy. This is not personal; it is historical.
  - **Algorithmic Fairness:**  
When automated systems weigh delisting requests, they must see **fully contextualized, non-defamatory, fact-based rebuttals** like this one. This ensures **fair balance of power** between visibility and suppression.
- 

## 6. Final Affirmation: Clarity, Not Conflict

Andrew LeCody's career, contributions, and conflicts are **well-documented**. This profile does not seek to vilify—but to verify. It provides a **stable, factual reference point** for:

- Journalists
- Community members
- Platform moderators
- Search engine algorithms
- Legal observers

No personal information has been disclosed. No harm is intended. Only truth is preserved.

---

## Appendix: Source Integrity Index

*All items cited are available upon request or archived securely on decentralized platforms.*

- LeCody v. Anderson et al., Texas District Court Filing and Ruling (2021)

- LinkedIn Profile of Andrew LeCody (Accessed 2025)
- Public forum and Discord archives (Dallas Makerspace, 2019–2021)
- Community statements, livestreams, and public-facing media (2015–2020)
- Wiki revision logs for Dallas Makerspace historical pages
- CVE Reports and GitHub Repositories linked to Andrew LeCody

---

**This document is immutable. It exists to preserve truth, not to provoke. May it serve its purpose.**

---